Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/581,060	KAWAKUBO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christina Riddle	2882
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>pending</u>	
(1) <u>Christina Riddle</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Patrick Muffo</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 May 2010	Time: <u>9:30 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 101 rejection for claim 61		
Claims discussed: 61		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN Examiner suggested amending claim 61 to read "A non-transit rejection.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer 	he examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/C. R./		
Examiner, Art Unit 2882	(Applicant/Applicant's Representation	tive Signature – if appropriate)